



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/082,348 | 02/26/2002 | Pasi Laurila | P 290657 2990360US/SML/jko | 9575 |
| 7590 09/12/2008 PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD MCLEAN, VA 22102 | | | EXAMINER IQBAL, KHAWAR | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2617 | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | 09/12/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/082,348 | Applicant(s) LAURILA ET AL. |
| | Examiner KHAWAR IQBAL | Art Unit 2617 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KHAWAR IQBAL. (3)_____.

(2) Nidhi Chotani (Reg. # 59924). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 13 August 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,13,25 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: Leung (6501746).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained the distinction between the claimed invention and Leung. Examiner discussed the features in claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Khwair Iqbal/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617